

Aberforth Unit Trust Managers Limited

Assessment of Value delivered to Unitholders of the Aberforth UK Small Companies Fund for the period ended 31 December 2020

In accordance with the requirements of COLL 6.6.20 R of the Collective Investment Schemes Sourcebook as issued by the Financial Conduct Authority, we, the Board of Aberforth Unit Trust Managers Limited have undertaken an exercise to assess whether the payments out of scheme property set out in the Prospectus are justified in the context of the overall value delivered to Unitholders.

Conclusion

The Board concluded that, in its opinion:

- the Manager is delivering value to Unitholders; and
- charges borne by the Fund are justified in the context of the value delivered to Unitholders.

In reaching this conclusion, we considered the Fund's investment objective, policy and strategy, our assessment of each of the factors below and the unprecedented circumstances arising from the Covid-19 pandemic.

We have considered information furnished to us throughout the year and otherwise provided to us, as well as information prepared specifically in connection with our formal annual review. We considered the following factors individually, but not in isolation, recognising that these are connected.

1. Quality of service

Unitholders benefit from a variety of services, which are provided by several suppliers. We reviewed the range and quality of these services, conducting our assessment in three parts.

Investment management services

The Manager outsources the provision of investment management services to the Investment Adviser, Aberforth Partners LLP. Our review of investment management services included an assessment of the Investment Adviser's financial strength and stability; the depth, quality, and consistency of its investment management process; the experience, capability, and integrity of personnel managing the Fund's assets; and the ongoing evolution of the investment management team designed to maintain and strengthen these qualities. We took comfort from the collegiate approach to portfolio management and the strong alignment of interests between investment personnel and Unitholders, evidenced by the fact that the investment personnel involved in managing the Fund's assets are themselves investors in the core strategy underpinning the Fund's investment objective, policy and

Aberforth Unit Trust Managers Limited

Assessment of Value delivered to Unitholders of the Aberforth UK Small Companies Fund for the period ended 31 December 2020

strategy. We also noted the significant resources devoted to servicing existing and prospective Unitholders by means of written communications and face-to-face meetings. We were mindful of the Investment Adviser's business philosophy under which its principals endeavour to profit with their clients rather than from them. We satisfied ourselves that the Investment Adviser's policies and processes continue to deliver best execution for the Fund and that transaction costs remain appropriate in this context. We acknowledged that regulatory changes have been implemented effectively when required. Finally, we considered the prompt and in-depth reporting provided by the Investment Adviser on matters relating to investment performance and portfolio management.

Administrative services provided by the Manager

Within this category, notable services include daily fund accounting/valuation and unit pricing, Unitholder reporting, and client money oversight: all of which the Manager outsources to the Investment Adviser. Unit dealing (including anti money laundering checks) and registration is outsourced to the Third Party Administrator and Registrar.

In assessing the quality of these services, we considered the design and effectiveness of the Investment Adviser's internal controls and the level of satisfaction of the Fund's Unitholders. Our conclusion on this matter also reflected the regular and comprehensive updates and summaries of the compliance monitoring programme run by the Investment Adviser's Risk & Compliance team, as well as reports from the Trustee and the Investment Adviser's Auditors. This monitoring programme covers the activities undertaken by third party service providers as well as the services provided by the Investment Adviser, and evidenced a well-managed operation delivering good outcomes on behalf of the Fund and its Unitholders.

Administrative services provided by third parties

These comprise services provided by the Trustee & Depositary, the Custodian, the Registrar, and the Fund's Auditors. Again, our judgement on the quality of these services reflected the regular and comprehensive updates and summaries of the compliance monitoring programme run by the Investment Adviser's Risk & Compliance team. This monitoring programme evidenced that the third parties' operations were well-managed and delivered good outcomes on behalf of the Fund and its unitholders.

Based on its review, the Board concluded that the quality of service provided to the Fund by the Manager and others is satisfactory.

2. Performance

Assessment of Value delivered to Unitholders of the Aberforth UK Small Companies Fund for the period ended 31 December 2020

The Board reviewed the performance of the Fund, in relation to the investment objective, policy and strategy. Performance is assessed formally on a quarterly basis through reports submitted by the Investment Adviser. These detailed reports address the various factors pertinent to performance, including top-down influences and the impact of individual holdings.

Consistent with the investment objective and with the recommended holding period, the Fund's performance was compared with that of the NSCI (XIC), the Fund's relevant benchmark index, over periods more than 5 years. An important element of the Board's assessment was the investment strategy: since inception, the Fund's portfolio has been managed in accordance with the Investment Adviser's value investment philosophy. The Board noted that, while there is persuasive evidence that a value approach within small UK quoted companies results in superior returns over the long term, there can be extended periods when the value style is out of favour.

In 2020, the value investment style encountered unprecedented pressure with the onset of the Covid-19 pandemic and the implementation of lockdown measures to control the virus. The Investment Adviser's analysis of the Fund's performance shows that these factors were particularly influential on the portfolio of attractively valued but economically sensitive businesses. The Fund's absolute and relative performances were consequently weak for the year to 31 December 2020.

Given the extraordinary circumstances of 2020, the Board considered it particularly important to assess the Fund's performance over longer periods and in more normal conditions, more aligned to investors recommended longer term holding period. In the year to 31 December 2019, the Fund performed in line with the NSCI (XIC). Over ten years and since launch to 31 December 2019, it out-performed. Over five years it under-performed. All these periods, save for since launch, coincided with headwinds for the value investment style. In November, with the announcement of a Covid-19 vaccine, the value style demonstrated such signs of out-performance. The Board is reassured given this context in which to assess 2020's performance.

In assessing investment performance and the influences on it, the Board recognised that Unitholders have a broad range of investment choices available and have chosen to invest (and remain invested) in the Fund, which differentiates itself from most other funds in the small UK quoted companies sector by its adherence to the value style. The factors affecting performance, including investment style, are regularly highlighted in the Manager's Report to Unitholders. The Board noted that the Unitholder register is dominated by institutional investors and that the Investment Adviser regularly offers face-to-face meetings with a high percentage of these firms. Feedback from these meetings with the professionals responsible for investing their clients' capital in the Fund is shared with the Board and acts as an important barometer of investor sentiment.

Performance data for the Fund are shown on page 2 of the Fund's annual report and accounts. Whilst acknowledging the challenge to performance that currently accompanies the Fund's investment strategy, the Board is also conscious that some of the most attractive opportunities in equity markets require a contrarian approach synonymous with the value style and so continues to look to the future

Aberforth Unit Trust Managers Limited

Assessment of Value delivered to Unitholders of the Aberforth UK Small Companies Fund for the period ended 31 December 2020

with considerable optimism. We are reassured longer term performance offers reasonable value over the recommended holding period.

3. Authorised Fund Manager costs - general

The Board reviewed the costs of providing the services in relation to the charges incurred by the Fund.

The most material expense borne by the Fund is the Manager's periodic fee, representing 93% of total expenses in the year ended 31 December 2020.

As noted above, the Manager outsources most of its activities to the Investment Adviser and operates on a relatively low margin. The management fee incorporates other services supporting investment management, including administration, compliance, risk, etc. The Investment Adviser is an associate of the Manager and is constituted as a limited liability partnership. Each of its full time working partners is remunerated through a share in the business profits. The level of subjectivity and assumptions required to conduct a cost-based analysis of the Manager's fee arguably generates such a range of possible outcomes as would significantly negate its usefulness in informing a view on value delivered. Nevertheless, we are satisfied that, adjusted as appropriate, pro forma profitability at the Investment Adviser is not excessive. The Manager reports a fee peer comparison on a quarterly basis to monitor the levels of management fee and ongoing charge.

The Investment Adviser believes that its clients are best served if it remains a focused boutique, investing in a single asset class, wholly devoted to a small number of institutional clients and delivering value to a wide range of underlying investors. The chosen asset class – small UK quoted companies – experiences periods in which it is in and out of favour, and the effect of this can be exacerbated by the value investment style described above. In addition, the Investment Adviser has a focused business strategy that it determines to be in the best interests of its clients but that limits the scope for business growth and diversification (this capacity constraint is discussed further below under "Economies of scale"). These factors increase the volatility of, and place limits on, the Investment Adviser's income stream, which is wholly variable and largely correlated to funds under management. When this is combined with a relatively fixed cost base, business viability is dependent on margins being sufficient.

In this context, we are satisfied that the Manager's periodic fee is reasonable.

4. Economies of scale

Aberforth Unit Trust Managers Limited

Assessment of Value delivered to Unitholders of the Aberforth UK Small Companies Fund for the period ended 31 December 2020

The Board assessed the extent to which savings and benefits from economies of scale could be achieved, relating to the costs of managing the Fund's property.

We noted that the Investment Adviser's business strategy is to focus on a single asset class – small UK quoted companies – that can be characterised by periods of relative lower liquidity. The Investment Adviser is not an asset gatherer and seeks to limit its capacity, in terms of funds under management, as it believes this to be in the best interests of its clients. New product development has been driven solely by investment opportunity and demand, subject always to consideration of remaining capacity. Further, the Board noted that the Investment Adviser does not seek to expand its investable universe beyond that described in the Fund's investment policy. Accordingly, the Board acknowledged that there is a limit to the level of cost economies available from such a capacity constrained business beyond those already achieved by it having operated for some time at or close to its self-imposed capacity.

All the Investment Adviser's clients benefit from its current scale but for the reasons noted above there is a limit on the scope to generate further scale efficiencies. The economies of scale shared to date have influenced a decrease over time in the ad valorem rate of management fees incurred by the Fund and by other clients managed by the Investment Adviser. We noted that this favourable outcome for the Fund was despite increasing costs being borne by the Investment Adviser.

We concluded that the Investment Adviser's disciplined adherence to a ceiling on funds under management, whilst limiting the scope for further cost efficiencies, is in the best interests of Unitholders.

5. Comparable Market Rates

The Board reviewed market rates for comparable services, in the context of services provided to the Fund.

We compared fees incurred for similar services by other small UK quoted companies funds and satisfied ourselves that the Manager's periodic fee remains in the lower quartile, thus fair and reasonable on that basis.

Whilst significantly less material, we also considered other expenses incurred by the Fund and concluded that Ongoing Charges incurred by the Fund compare favourably with market rates.

6. Comparable Services

The Board also compared the Manager's periodic fee charged to the Fund with the level of fees charged to other clients of the Investment Adviser with comparable services and strategies; and satisfied itself that the Manager's periodic fee remains fair and reasonable.

Assessment of Value delivered to Unitholders of the Aberforth UK Small Companies Fund for the period ended 31 December 2020

7. Classes of units

The Board reviewed the charging structure applied to the Fund's classes of units.

We noted that the Fund has only income units and accumulation units. There is no institutional share class differential. An income unit entitles the holder to a cash distribution representing the net income attributable to that unit at each income allocation date. An accumulation unit does not entitle the holder to payment of the net income attributable to that unit, but that income is reinvested within the Fund and reflected in the accumulation unit price. This difference was created to cater for the income preferences of Unitholders, who are free to move between the classes.

We noted that there is no difference in charging structure applied between the two classes of units and accordingly the conclusions reached on value delivered would apply to both classes equally.

D M Cooper, *Director*

K F Muir, *Director*

J S Richards, *Director*

P R Shaw, *Director*

S L Wallace, *Director*

Aberforth Unit Trust Managers Limited

27 January 2021